

A COMPREHENSIVE SURVEY OF SOME POPULAR MULTIPATH ROUTING TECHNIQUES

Ms. Uttara Batham¹, Mr. Mayank Bhatt²

Student, Computer Science and Engineering, RIT (LNCT) Indore, uttarabatham@gmail.com

H.O.D, Computer Science and Engineering, RIT (LNCT) Indore, mayankbhatt27@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Nowadays the demand of network is increasing rapidly. The rationally growing applications of such network requirements also demands fast recovery from network or link failures. Multipath routing is one of the most promising routing schemes to accommodate the diverse requirements of the network. Load balancing and improved bandwidth are the two main advantages of the multi path routing. In this paper, we are proposing a comprehensive survey of the modern multipath routing techniques. The introduction of multipath routing with its merits and demerits is also given in the beginning section of the introduction.

Keywords: *Multipath Routing, Increased bandwidth, Directed Acyclic Graphs, Recovery from link failure.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Multipath routing, sometimes called traffic dispersion [8], has been one of the most important current directions in the area of routing. The current routing is based on the single path routing - between a source and a destination, the single minimum-cost path tends to be selected although different cost metrics may yield different paths. Though in a practically well-connected network there may exist several paths between a source-destination pair. The concept of multipath routing is to give the source node a choice at any given time of multiple paths to a particular destination by taking advantage of the connectivity redundancy of the underlying network. The multiple paths may be used alternately, the traffic uses or runs on one path at a time.

Multipath routing has drawn extensive attention in MANETs and WSNs recently. The deployment of a large number of nodes in a network makes the multipath routing a nature and promising technique to cope with the frequent topological changes and consequently unreliable communication services.

1.1 Advantages of Multipath Routing:

- **Reliability and Fault-Tolerance:** The original idea behind using multipath routing approach in WSN was to provide path resilience (against node or link failures) and reliable data communication. In the fault tolerance dominion at whatever time a sensor node cannot forward its data packets towards the sink. It can also get the benefit from the availability of alternative paths to salvage its data packets from node or link failures [7].
- **Optimum Load Balancing:** Load balancing is also a very important advantage of the multi path routing. In this scheme, we can send the data using the parallel channels in such a way that the load is properly balanced at each path [8].
- **Delay time is reduced:** The delay time is minimized in multipath routing because alternate routes are identified during route discovery [4].
- **Increased Bandwidth:** By splitting data to the same destination into multiple streams while everyone is routed through a different path the effective bandwidth can be accumulated. This scheme is chiefly favourable when a node has multiple low bandwidth links but it requires a bandwidth that is greater than the one which an individual link can provide [4].

Link-independent (node-independent) DAGs satisfy the property that any path from a source to the root on one DAG is link-disjoint (node-disjoint) with any path from the source to the root on the other DAG. A polynomial time solvable algorithm is proposed in [1] computes link-independent and node-independent DAGs. Author [2,3] first proposes a modified version of the popular AODV protocol that allows us to discover multiple node-disjoint paths from a source to a destination. The proposed method [4] extracts the resource redundancy and diversity in the underlying network. It provides benefits such as fault tolerance, recovery from link failure, load balancing, security, bandwidth aggregation, and improvement delay metric. The spread scheme is proposed in work done in [6]. The SPREAD is based on two principles, one is secret sharing and the other one is multipath routing. Connection less multipath routing is proposed in [5].

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

In order to achieve resilient multipath routing,[1] Introduces the concept of independent directed acyclic graphs (IDAGs) in this paper. Link-independent (node-independent) DAGs satisfy the property that any path from a source to the root on one DAG is link-disjoint (node-disjoint) with any path from the source to the root on the other DAG. Given a network, author used polynomial-time algorithms to compute link-independent and node-independent DAGs. The algorithm developed in this paper: 1) provides multipath routing; 2) utilizes all Mobile ad hoc networks.

[2] consist of nodes that are often vulnerable to failure. As such, it is important to provide redundancy in terms of providing multiple node-disjoint paths from a source to a destination. Author first propose a modified version of the popular AODV protocol that allows us to discover multiple node-disjoint paths from a source to a destination.

[3]Research on multipath routing protocols to provide improved throughput and route resilience as compared with single-path routing has been explored in details in the context of wired networks. However, multipath routing mechanism has not been explored thoroughly in the domain of ad hoc networks.

[4]Multipath routing allows building and use of multiple paths for routing between a source-destination pair. It exploits the resource redundancy and diversity in the underlying network to provide benefits such as fault tolerance, load balancing, bandwidth aggregation, and improvement in QoS metrics such as delay. There are three elements to a multipath routing, namely, path discovery, traffic distribution, and path maintenance. Path discovery involves finding available paths using pre-defined criteria.

[5]Author presents a framework for the modeling of multipath routing in connectionless networks that dynamically adapt to network congestion. The basic routing protocol uses a short-term metric based on hop-by-hop credits to reduce congestion over a given link, and a long-term metric based on end-to-end path delay to reduce delays from a source to a given destination.

In this paper [6], author investigates the security performance of the SPREAD scheme, which author proposed as a complementary mechanism to enhance data confidentiality in a mobile ad hoc network (MANET). SPREAD is based on two principles, secret sharing and multipath routing.

With the scheme, we can achieve robustness [2], load balancing [3], bandwidth aggregation [4], congestion reduction [5], and security [6] compared to the single shortest-path routing that is usually used in most networks. Multipath routing in today's IP networks is merely limited to equal-cost multipaths [24], [25]. Techniques developed for multipath routing are often based on employing multiple spanning trees or directed acyclic graphs (DAGs) [7]. When multiple routing tables are employed, a packet has to carry in its header the routing table to be used for forwarding. When the corresponding forwarding edge is not available, the packet needs to be dropped. This dropping is forced due to the potential looping of packets when transferred from one routing table to another. In the case of DAGs, computed by adding edges to the shortest-path tree, one cannot guarantee that a single-link failure will not disconnect one or more nodes from the destination. Techniques developed for fast recovery from single-link failures provide more than one forwarding edge to route a packet to a destination. The techniques may be classified depending on the nature in which the backup edges are employed. In [8], the authors develop a method to augment any given tree rooted at a destination with "backup forwarding ports." Whenever the default forwarding edge fails or a packet is received from the node attached to the default forwarding edge for the destination, the packets are rerouted on the backup ports. In [9], the authors present a framework for IP fast reroute detailing three candidate

solutions for IP fast reroute that have all gained considerable attention. These are multiple routing configurations (MRCs) [10], failure insensitive routing (FIR) [11], [12], and tunneling using Not-via addresses (Not-via) [13]. The common feature of all these approaches is that they employ multiple routing tables. However, they differ in the mechanisms employed to identify which routing table to use for an incoming packet. The readers are referred to [14] for a detailed description of the above techniques. It is certainly possible to use fast recovery techniques (irrespective of whether they guarantee recovery from single link failure or not) for multipath routing. However, all the above techniques require a significantly large number of routing tables, hence a large number of additional bits in the packet header. One approach that offers resiliency to single-link failure and provides multipath routing to some degree is “colored trees” [15], [16]. In this approach, two trees are constructed per destination node such that the paths from any node to the root on the two trees are disjoint. The trees may be constructed to obtain link-disjoint or node-disjoint paths if the network is two-edge or two-vertex connected, respectively. This approach is similar to those employing multiple routing tables, except that only two tables are required. Every packet may carry an extra bit in its header to indicate the tree to be used for routing. This overhead bit may be avoided by employing a routing based on the destination address and the incoming edge over which the packet was received, as every incoming edge will be present on exactly one of the trees. The colored tree approach allows every node to split its traffic between the two trees, thus offering disjoint multipath routing. In addition, when a forwarding link on a tree fails, the packet may be switched to the other tree. A packet may be transferred from one tree to another at most once as the colored tree approach is guaranteed to recover from only a single-link failure. The colored trees are also referred to as “independent trees” in the literature [17].

3. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a comprehensive survey of the current methods for multipath routing. As the cost of hardware devices decreased and there is steady increase in resource availability voice over IP and other multimedia data streaming is increased. In such applications high bandwidth is expected besides faster recovery from single point of failures. To achieve high bandwidth and recovery from the failure, a good solution multipath routing can be used.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It gives me immense and satisfaction in publishing this research paper. In the endeavour of preparing this research paper many people gave me a helping hand. So it becomes my duty and pleasure to express my deep regards to them.

On the outset of this research paper I express my sincere regards to my guide **MR. MAYANK BHATT**, who gave me an opportunity to work upon this topic and made me present my views on topic through this paper. I thank him for many insightful conversation during the development of the ideas in this paper and helpful comments on the text. I thank and pay my deep gratitude to all faculty members of CSE department (RIT), as they have been constantly helping me in it, without their co-operation and support, working on the paper would have been very difficult.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Cho, T. Elhourani, and S. Ramasubramanian, “Resilient multipath routing with independent directed acyclic graphs,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun.*, Cape Town, South Africa, May 2010, pp. 1–5.
- [2] Z. Ye, S. V. Krishnamurthy, and S. K. Tripathi, “A framework for reliable routing in mobile ad hoc networks,” in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, Apr. 2003, pp. 270–280.
- [3] P. P. Pham and S. Perreau, “Performance analysis of reactive shortest path and multi-path routing mechanism with load balance,” in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, 2003, pp. 251–259.
- [4] J. Tsai and T. Moors, “A review of multipath routing protocols: From wireless ad hoc to mesh networks,” in *Proc. ACoRN Early Career Res. Workshop Wireless Multihop Netw.*, Jul. 17–18, 2006, pp. 17–22.

- [5] S. Murthy and J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves, "Congestion-oriented shortest multipath routing," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, Mar. 1996, vol. 3, pp. 1028–1036.
- [6] W. Lou, W. Liu, and Y. Fang, "A simulation study of security performance using multipath routing in ad hoc networks," in *Proc. IEEE Veh. Technol. Conf.*, Oct. 2003, vol. 3, pp. 2142–2146.
- [7] G. Lee and J. Choi, "A survey of multipath routing for traffic engineering," 2002 [Online]. Available: <http://academic.research.microsoft.com/Publication/10842993/a-survey-of-multipath-routing-for-traffic-engineering>
- [8] K. Xi and J. Chao, "IP fast rerouting for single-link/node failure recovery," in *Proc. BROADNETS, Internet Technol. Symp.*, Sep. 2007, pp. 142–151.
- [9] M. Shand and S. Bryant, "IP fast reroute framework," IETF Internet Draft draft-ietf-rtgwg-ipfrr-framework-08.txt, Feb. 2008.
- [10] A. Kvalbein, A. F. Hansen, T. Ćiĉić, S. Gjessing, and O. Lysne, "Fast IP network recovery using multiple routing configurations," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, Apr. 2006, pp. 1–11.
- [11] S. Lee, Y. Yu, S. Nelakuditi, Z.-L. Zhang, and C.-N. Chuah, "Proactive vs. reactive approaches to failure resilient routing," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, Mar. 2004, vol. 1, pp. 176–186.
- [12] S. Nelakuditi, S. Lee, Y. Yu, and Z.-L. Zhang, "Failure insensitive routing for ensuring service availability," in *Proc. IWQoS*, Jun. 2003, pp. 287–304.
- [13] S. Bryant, M. Shand, and S. Previdi, "IP fast reroute using not-via addresses," Internet Draft draft-ietf-rtgwg-ipfrr-notvia-addresses-02.txt, Feb. 2008.
- [14] S. Kini, S. Ramasubramanian, A. Kvalbein, and A. Hansen, "Fast recovery from dual-link failures in IP networks," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Apr. 2009, pp. 1368–1376.
- [15] S. Ramasubramanian, M. Harkara, and M. Krunz, "Distributed linear time construction of colored trees for disjoint multipath routing," in *Proc. IFIP Netw.*, May 2006, pp. 1026–1038.
- [16] G. Jayavelu, S. Ramasubramanian, and O. Younis, "Maintaining colored trees for disjoint multipath routing under node failures," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 346–359, Feb. 2008.
- [17] A. Huck, "Independent trees in graphs," *Graphs Combin.*, vol. 10, pp. 29–45, 1994.
- [18] T. Erlebach and A. Mereu, "Path splicing with guaranteed fault tolerance," in *Proc. 28th IEEE GLOBECOM*, 2009, pp. 623–628.
- [19] Y. Ohara, S. Imahori, and R. V. Meter, "MARA: Maximum alternative routing algorithm," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Apr. 2009, pp. 298–306.
- [20] M. Medard, R. A. Barry, S. G. Finn, and R. G. Gallager, "Redundant trees for preplanned recovery in arbitrary vertex—Redundant or edge redundant graphs," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 641–652, Oct. 1999.
- [21] G. Xue, L. Chen, and K. Thulasiraman, "Quality-of-service and quality-of-protection issues in preplanned recovery schemes using redundant-trees," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 1332–1345, Oct. 2003.
- [22] S. Ramasubramanian, M. Harkara, and M. Krunz, "Linear time distributed construction of colored trees for disjoint multipath routing," *Comput. Netw.*, vol. 51, no. 10, pp. 2854–2866, Jul. 2007.
- [23] G. Xue, W. Zhang, J. Tang, and K. Thulasiraman, "Polynomial time approximation algorithms for multi-constrained qos routing," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 656–669, Jun. 2008.

[24] D. Thaler and C. Hopps, "Multipath issues in unicast and multicast next-hop selection," RFC 2991, Nov. 2000 [Online]. Available: [http:// tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2991](http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2991)

[25] C.Hopps, "Analysis of an equal-costmulti-path algorithm," RFC 2992, Nov. 2000 [Online]. Available: <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2992>